



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/780,100 | 02/09/2001 | Aviv Malinovitch | P04729 | 6462 |

7590 05/02/2003

Docket Clerk
P.O. Drawer 800889
Dallas, TX 75380

[REDACTED]
EXAMINER

DEBERADINIS, ROBERT L

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
| | 2836 |

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 09/780,100 | Applicant(s) AVVIV MALINOVITCH et al. |  |
| | Examiner Robert L. DeBerardinis | Art Unit 2836 | |
| <i>-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --</i> | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 6, 2003</u> | | | |
| 2a) <input type="checkbox"/> This action is FINAL . | | 2b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This action is non-final. | |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| 5) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>15-24</u> is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1 and 8</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>2-7 and 9-14</u> is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) <input type="checkbox"/> Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) <input type="checkbox"/> The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| 10) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The drawing(s) filed on <u>Feb 9, 2001</u> is/are a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> accepted or b) <input type="checkbox"/> objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11) <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) <input type="checkbox"/> approved b) <input type="checkbox"/> disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | |
| 12) <input type="checkbox"/> The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) <input type="checkbox"/> All b) <input type="checkbox"/> Some* c) <input type="checkbox"/> None of: 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____. 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | |
| 14) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | |
| a) <input type="checkbox"/> The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | |
| 15) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ | |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ | | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ | |

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The response filed 2/6/03 consists of amending the specification and remarks related to rejection of claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CRUICKSHANK 6,529,032 in view of WEBSTER 6,195,755.

Regarding claim 1.

CRUICKSHANK discloses an apparatus for blocking an output signal in a first power supply domain (12) from being sent to a second power supply domain (14) when said second power supply domain is in a low power mode.

CRUICKSHANK does not disclose an integrated circuit comprising two power supply domains.

Art Unit: 2836

WEBSTER discloses an integrated circuit comprising two power supply domains (VPS1, VPS2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to include in an integrated circuit of the type comprising at least two power supply domains in which each power supply domain comprises at least one module powered by the same voltage level, an apparatus for blocking an output signal in a first power supply domain from being sent to a second power supply domain when said second power supply domain is in a low power mode to prevent a back drive current from flowing in the circuit when one of the power supply domains is in a low power mode.

Regarding claim 8.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to include in an integrated circuit of the type comprising at least two power supply domains in which each power supply domain comprises at least one module powered by the same voltage level, an apparatus for blocking an output signal in a first power supply domain from being received in a second power supply domain when said first power supply domain is in a low power mode to prevent a back drive current from flowing in the circuit when one of the power supply domains is in a low power mode.

Art Unit: 2836

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 15-24 allowed.
5. Claims 2-7, 9-14 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not disclose or suggest to disclose, *inter alia*, a power sense cell.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert L. DeBerardinis whose number is (703) 306-5857. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on (703) 308-3119. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

RLD

APRIL 22, 2003

